BITSHARES 2.0: GENERAL OVERVIEW

Fabian Schuh, Daniel Larimer
Cryptonomex, Cryptonomex.com*
Blacksburg (VA), USA
{fabian, dan}@cryptonomex.com

Abstract—**BitShares 2.0 is an industrial-grade decentralized platform built for high-performance financial smart contracts. The decentralized exchange that allows for trading of arbitrary pairs without counterparty risk facilitates only one out of many available features. Market-pegged assets, such as the bitUSD, are crypto tokens that come with all the advantages of traditional cryptocurrencies like bitcoin but trade for at least the value of their underlying asset, e.g. \$1. Furthermore, BitShares represents the first decentralized autonomous company that lets its shareholders decide on its future direction and products. This paper gives a brief overview over the whole BitShares platform, recapitulates known blockchain technologies and redefines *state-of-the-art*.**

1   Introduction

BitShares is a technology supported by next generation entrepreneurs, investors, and developers with a common interest in finding free market solutions by leveraging the power of globally decentralized consensus and decision making. Consensus technology has the power to do for economics what the internet did for information. It can harness the combined power of all humanity to coordinate the discovery and aggregation of real-time knowledge, previously unobtainable. This knowledge can be used to more effectively coordinate the allocation of resources toward their most productive and valuable use.

Bitcoin is the first fully autonomous system to utilize distributed consensus technology to create a more efficient and reliable global payment network. The core innovation of Bitcoin is the Blockchain, a cryptographically secured public ledger of all accounts on the Bitcoin network that facilitates the transfer of value from one individual directly to another. For the first time in history, financial transactions over the internet no longer require a middle man to act as a trustworthy, confidential fiduciary.

BitShares looks to extend the innovation of the blockchain to more industries that rely upon the internet to provide their services. Whether its banking, stock exchanges [1], lotteries [2], voting [3], music [4], auctions or many others, a digital public ledger allows for the creation of *distributed autonomous companies* (or DACs) that provide better quality services at a fraction of the cost incurred by their more traditional, centralized

counterparts. The advent of DACs ushers in a new paradigm in organizational structure in which companies can run without any human management and under the control of an incorruptible set of business rules. These rules are encoded in publicly auditable open source software distributed across the computers of the companies' shareholders, who effortlessly secure the company from arbitrary control.

BitShares does for business what bitcoin did for money by utilizing distributed consensus technology to create companies that are inherently global, transparent, trustworthy, efficient and most importantly profitable. Why and how BitShares achieves a decentralized but profitable business is described in more detail in a distinct paper [?].

BitShares has went through many changes and has done its best to stay on top of blockchain technology. Towards the end of 2014 some of the DACs were merged and the X was dropped from "BitShares X" to become simply BitShares (BTS).

The next step in the evolution of BitShares was named *BitShares 2.0*, and incorporates all of the feedback and lessons learned from the BitShares stakeholders, partners, developers, marketers, and other community leaders throughout a full year of research and development.

With the former BitShares 1.0, the core development team has closely controlled the development and direction of BitShares. With BitShares reaching maturity at version 2.0, the team is ready to remove the training wheels, and let the direction of all future development be decided completely by stakeholder vote.

By utilizing a new worker voting system that will be included in BitShares 2.0, the development will continue in whatever direction is approved by its stakeholders. With this new structure, BitShares will be more robust, and sustainable while being agile, flexible and adaptive to overcome unforeseen hurdles of the future.

This paper is intended as an introduction to BitShares 2.0 and presents the basic concepts of the peer-to-peer nature, the distributed public ledger in form of a blockchain, and give a brief overview of the decentral consensus mechanism applied to reach blockchain state consensus. We further discuss the basic blockchain tokens (BTS), its distribution and usage in BitShares. We also describe the wallet and operations with the network as well as outline the functionalities of BitShares accounts.

2 Base Token

In the BitShares network the base token is called *a BitShare* and carries the abbreviation `BTS`. It is dividable into $10^5 = 100,000$ sub-units.

In general, all properties of Bitcoin also apply to BTS, namely, they have value, can be transfered on the blockchain and are secured by an Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) on the curve `secp256k1`.

In contrast to most crypto-currencies, BitShares does not claim to be a currency but rather an *equity* in a decentral autonomous company (DAC). As a result, the market valuation of BitShares is free floating and may be as volatile as any other equity (e.g. of traditional companies).

Nonetheless, BTS tokens can be used as *collateral* in financial smart contracts [5] such as market pegged assets and thus back every existing smartcoin such as the bitUSD.

The following subsection recapitulate the initial distribution and supply of BTS.

2.1 Distribution of BTS

BitShares has set an example of a *social agreement* by establishing its own *sharedropping* standards. The idea behind sharedropping is that any future chain will always benefit by choosing to align itself with the ones who worked hard at making the technology possible.

The base tokens of BitShares *2.0* will be distributed on a 1:1 basis fully honoring the BTS tokens in the BitShares *1.0* network. For the sake of completeness, the following paragraphs will describe the initial distribution of BTS tokens in the aforementioned BitShares 1.0 network from PTS and AGS.

2.1.1 Bitshares PTS

The original grandfather prototype, formerly called proto-shares (PTS), BitShares PTS was a simple minable cryptocurrency (similar to Bitcoin) that was created to allow people to advertise their interest in receiving free token samples in future DACs. PTS functions as a high-tech *mailing list* for distributing free sample bitshares from many developers of decentral autonomous companies (DACs). The only people who tended to own PTS tokens were those who understand DACs, so DAC developers prefer to target them with free samples rather than *air dropping* their samples onto a much less interested general population.

The industry recommendation was that when a DAC is launched, at least 10% of the DAC's total tokens are given proportionally to holders of PTS. This was not a contract or a guarantee; it was a *social consensus* of those in the DAC community about what percentage of a new DAC's tokens should be distributed to those who have supported the BitShares industry by owning its PTS tokens.

The BitShares DAC honored this social consensus and even sharedropped 47% of its ever existing supply onto BitShares PTS holders.

2.1.2 Bitshares AGS

The original grandmother prototype formerly called angel-shares (AGS) in reference to the patron *angels* who once funded the performing arts. That's why AGS are *not liquid*. (No one can trade the proof that you were the once willing to donate to this cause.)

The donations have been recorded in the public blockchain of bitcoin which now acts as a *book of honorable donors*. The bitcoin address used as donation address was

```
1ANGELwQwWxMmbdaSWhWLqBEtPTkWb8uDc
```

Note, that the donation period for AGS lasting 200 days has ended already and that donations to this address never resulted nor will result in any obligations whatsoever.

Since the social consensus includes AGS, the industry recommendation again is to give at least 10% to holders of AGS. Similar to BitShares PTS, the 47% of the total BTS supply have been sharedropped onto members of the AGS mailing-list proportionally.

2.2 Bitshares Genesis Distribution

We see that the seed allocation (initial distribution) of BitShares, which took place over a 1 year period, from November 2013 to November 2014, was achieved by sharedropping 47% to BitShares PTS and another 47% to BitShares AGS. This way, the full, fairness was defined by equal opportunity and in the case of BTS we have distributed *fairly* by CPU mining of PTS while, alternatively, everyone had an additional equal opportunity by contribute to AGS.

Having attracted two different groups of investors with a mined crypto token via PTS and a donation based book of donors via AGS, everyone had a chance to participate and be rewarded with stake in the genesis block of BitShares 1.0. This genesis block solely consisted of AGS and PTS holders on a 50%/50% ratio such that the BTS tokens initially issued by this genesis can be considered *well distributed*.

The other 6% are set aside to secure the future of BitShares and funds its development and operational costs. In practice, they are put into the so called *reserves pool* that no one has control over except the BitShares protocol. In contrast to many other crypto-currencies, every shareholder has a say as to how these funds are spend (see section 4.2).

3 Business Units

Let us discuss the organization structure of the BitShares network when interpreted as a company. Some of these entities are associated with a cost for the business and need to be accounted for in profit calculations.

3.1 BitShares Witnesses

In BitShares, the witnesses' job is to collect transactions, bundle them into a block, sign the block and broadcast it to the network. They essentially are the block producers for the underlying consensus mechanism (see section 5.4).

For each successfully constructed block, a witness is payed in shares that are taken from the limited reserves pool at a rate that is defined by the shareholders by means of approval voting.

3.2 BitShares Committee

Since Bitcoin struggled to reach a consensus about the size of their blocks, the people in the cryptocurrency space realized that the governance of a DAC should not be ignored. Hence, BitShares offers a tools to reach on-chain consensus about business management decisions.

The BitShares blockchain has a set of parameters available that are subject of shareholder approval. Shareholders can define their preferred set of parameters and thereby create a so called *committee member* or alternatively vote for an existing committee member. The BitShares committee consists of C *active* committee members.

For each business parameter the protocol will calculate the difference between up- and down-votes ($v_{pro} - v_{con}$) for each active committee member and then take the median of the top C active members:

```
// Derive active C committee members
for i : active committee members do
  member weight: w[i] ← v_pro − v_con
end for
members ← SORT(w)
active ← members[0 → C]
// For each Parameter: derive median of active members
for parameter : parameters do
  p ← GETPARAMETERS(active, parameter)
  x = sort(p[i])
```

$$\tilde{p} = \begin{cases} x[\frac{C+1}{2}] & C \text{ odd} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(x[\frac{C}{2}] + x[\frac{C}{2}+1]\right) & C \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

```
  parameter ← p̃
end for
```

Since, C is a parameter as any other, the shareholders decide for the size of the committee.

The BitShares ecosystem has a set of parameters available that are subject of shareholder approval. Initially, BitShares has the following blockchain parameters:

fee structure:
> fess that have to be paid by customers for individual transactions

block interval:
> i.e. block interval, max size of block/transaction

expiration parameters:
> i.e. maximum expiration interval

witness parameters:
> i.e. maximum amount of witnesses (block producers)

committee parameters:
> i.e. maximum amount of committee members

witness pay:
> payment for each witnesses per signed block

worker budget:
> available budget available for budget items (e.g. development)

Please note that the given set of parameters serves as an example and that the network's parameters are subject to change over time.

Additionally to defining the parameters any active witness can propose a protocol or business upgrade (i.e. hard fork) which can be voted on (or against) by shareholders. When the total votes for the hard fork are greater than the median witness weight w then the hard fork takes effect.

3.3 BitShares Budget Items/Workers

Thanks to the funds stored in the reserve pool, BitShares can offer to not only pay for its own development and protocol improvement but also support and encourage growth of an ecosystem.

In order to be get paid by BitShares, a proposal containing (a) the date of work begin, (b) the date of work end, (c) a daily pay (denoted in BTS), (d) the worker's name, and (e) an internet address. has to be publish on the blockchain and approved by shareholders. A worker can also choose on of the following properties:

- *vesting*: pay to the worker's account
- *refund*: return the pay back to the reserve pool to be used for future projects
- *burn*: destroys the pay thus reducing share supply, equivalent to share buy-back of a company stock.

A blockchain parameter (defined by shareholders through the committee) defines the daily available budget. No more than that can be paid at any time to all so called *workers* combined.

The daily budget is distributed as illustrated in fig. 1: (1) The available budget is taken out of reserves pool. (2) The budget items are sorted according to their approval rate ($v_{pro} - v_{con}$) in a descending order. (3) Starting at the worker with the highest approval rate, the requested daily pay is payed until the daily budget is depleted. (4) The worker with the least approval rate that was paid may receive less than the requested pay

Hence, in order to be successfully funded by the BitShares ecosystem, the shareholder approval for your budget item needs to be highly ranked.

Since the payments for workers from the non-liquid reserve pool result in an increased supply of BTS, these payments are vesting over a period of time defined by shareholders.

3.4 Proxy Voting

Proxy Voting denotes the process of handing out ones voting power to someone else. This process can be reverted to reclaim ones voting power.

The motivation behind proxy voting is to reduce voting apathy and allow active shareholders to react more quickly to business and security concerns. That way, misbehaving witnesses can be fired more rapidly.

That is centralizing in some respects, but it's controlled centralization in the sense that nothing can happen too quickly and

Figure 1: Illustration of budget item payments.

if shareholders don't like which way it is going, still have the ability to switch courses. Compared to classical crypto currencies (e.g. Bitcoin), this process is somewhat similar to pooled mining with the exception that *every* shareholder can participate and only *voting power* is handed over. Furthermore, this allows for independent non-profit oriented decisions because there is no profit variance but purely political influence.

4   BitShares: A profitable DAC

BitShares is a decentralized autonomous company, and as such offers products to to earn their shareholders a profit. As we have seen in the previous section, it also offers a way to pay for expense, such as development and administration but earns a profit by burnning (i.e. reducing supply). Of course, the company can only be profitable if the income exceeds the expenses. Thus, we will now discuss both in detail.

4.1   The Products

The BitShares DAC offers their private customers several products and in this paper we would like to briefly highlight some of them. Of course, all of these come with with the properties of cryptocurrencies, namely (a) global accessibility, (b) customizable anonymity, (c) industry-grade security, (d) freedom from counterparty-risk, (e) flexible account Control, (f) low transaction delays, and (g) world-wide decentralized network.

Keep in mind that, as BitShares has the technical possibilities to upgrade itself with shareholder approval, new products and properties can be added in a timely manner.

4.1.1   Price-stable SmartCoins

The core product of BitShares is a class of assets referred to as Market-Pegged Assets (MPA), BitAssets, or SmartCoins and represent a crypto-token that has *at least* the value of the underlying asset. For instance, a bitUSD can always be sold for $1, either to a merchant at face-value, or to the network (by means of settlement of a contract) in return for BitShares' core currency (BTS) worth $1.

In practice, a SmartCoin *always* has 100% or more of its value backed by means of a contract for difference (CFD) between two parties with BTS as collateral. What makes these CFDs unique is that they are free from counterparty risk. This is achievable by letting the network itself (implemented as a software protocol) be responsible for securing the collateral and performing (forced) settlements if required as is described in more detail in [5].

Applications for SmartCoins are obvious: With the aforementioned properties, a bitUSD qualifies for regular and instant payments, for example with a smartphone or a modern browser application. In contrast, a bitGOLD (with one ounce of gold as underlying asset) would fit those people's needs that see gold as long-term store of value. As long as an asset has a unique global price, a SmartCoin could track its value. This allows for even more sophisticated applications, such as tracking a stock market index, or the price of a liter of gasoline.

4.1.2   Customizable Assets

In addition to market-pegged assets, the BitShares network also offers to register customizable assets on the public ledger. For instance, a BitShares customer may create the asset FREE and distribute them to friends for free. Another customer may want his company shares to be traded in the BitShares network. Yet another use-case would be event tickets that can be sold at a fixed price and allow the holder to enter a concert.

Since the use-cases of these User-Issued Assets (UIA) are manifold and space is limited in this paper, we discuss them in depth in [5].

4.1.3   Decentralized Exchange

As we have seen in the previous section, the BitShares network offers to register different types of assets. It also allows for trading between almost[1] *any two pairs* in an instant, trust-less and secure manner by means of the BitShares Decentralized Exchange (DEX).

In traditional trading, a clearing house is necessary because trades are made much faster than the cycle time for completing the underlying transaction. Since in BitShares trades between two parties are performed on a global scale in a decentralized network and no middlemen are required, there is no need for settlement or clearing delays. If a trade in the DEX executes, the bought asset instantly (T+0 [6]) appears in the customers wallet.

In combinations with SmartCoins, a startup could easily perform a dollar-denominated crowd-funding without legal or tax implications due to the velocity of cryptocurrency tokens. Furthermore, as all order-books are shared on a global scale, the markets will become more efficient because no different prices existing on different locations on earth. Of course, the DEX is open 24/7 and does not apply any limits to customers. A more detailed discussion about the DEX can be found in a distinct paper [5].

4.1.4   Flexible Identity Management

In BitShares, each account is separated into

---

[1] The issuer of an asset may white-/or black-list trading partners.

- *Active Permission* which has control over its funds and
- *Owner Permission* which controls the account itself.

Furthermore, BitShares uses *authorities* consisting of one or many entities that authorize an action, such as transfers, trades or account modifications. An authority consists of one or several pairs of an account name with a *weight*. In order to obtain a valid transaction, the sum of the weights from signing the parties has to exceed the threshold as defined in the permissions.

Let's discuss some examples to shed some light on the used terminology and the use-cases. We assume that a new account is created with it's active permissions set as described below. Note that the same scheme also works for the owner permissions!

A flat multi-signature scheme is composed of M entities of which N entities must sign in order for the transaction to be valid. Now, in BitShares, we have *weights* and a *threshold* instead of M and N. Still we can achieve the very same thing with even more flexibility as we will see now.

Let's assume, Alice, Bob, Charlie and Dennis have common funds. We want to be able to construct a valid transaction if only two of those agree. Hence a 2-of-4 (N-of-M) scheme can look as follows:

Account	Weight
Alice	33%
Bob	33%
Charlie	33%
Dennis	33%
Threshold:	51%

All four participants have a weight of 33% but the threshold is set to 51%. Hence only two out of the four need to agree to validate the transaction. Alternatively, to construct a 3-of-4 scheme, we can either decrease the weights to 17 or increase the threshold to 99%.

With the threshold and weights, we now have more flexibility over our funds, or more precisely, we have more *control*. For instance, we can have separate weights for different people. Let's assume Alice wants to secure here funds against theft by a multi-signature scheme but she does not want to hand over too much control to her friends. Hence, we create an authority similar to:

Account	Weight
Alice	49%
Bob	25%
Charlie	25%
Dennis	10%
Threshold:	51%

Now the funds can either be accessed by Alice and a single friend or by all three friends together.

Let's take a look at a simple multi-hierarchical corporate account setup. We are looking at a company that has a Chief of Financial Officer (CFO) and a some departments working for him, such as the Treasurer, Controller, Tax Manager, Accounting, etc. The company also has a CEO that wants to have spending privileges. Hence we construct an authority for the funds according to:

Account	Weight
CEO.COMPANY	51%
CFO.COMPANY	51%
Threshold:	51%

whereas CEO.COMPANY and CFO.COMPANY have their own authorities. For instance, the CFO.COMPANY account could look like:

CFO.COMPANY	Weight
Chief.COMPANY	51%
Treasurer.COMPANY	33%
Controller.COMPANY	33%
Tax Manager.COMPANY	10%
Accounting.COMPANY	10%
Threshold:	51%

This scheme allows:

- the CEO to spend funds
- the Chief of Finance Officer to spend funds
- Treasurer together with Controller to spend funds
- Controller or Treasurer together with wither the Tax Manager or Accounting to spend funds.

Hence, a try of arbitrary depth can be spanned in order to construct a flexible authority to reflect mostly any business use-case.

4.1.5   Variable and Flexible Fees

In the BitShares ecosystem every operation is assigned an *individual* fee that has to be payed in the core asset (BTS) the the end user. These fees are subject to change and are defined by the elected committee members. Thus each and every shareholder of the BitShares core asset (BTS) has a say as to what the fees should be. If shareholders can be convinced to reduce a certain fee and consensus is reached, the fee will be reduced automatically by the blockchain. This allows the ecosystem, to stay flexible and adept the price for the usage of its products over time.

Since the network expects the fees to be payed on the the core asset (BTS) but many users may not want to hold any, the protocol allows to trade an arbitrary asset into BTS from the asset-specific *fee pool* at the *core exchange rate* which is defined by the issuer (or the witnesses in the case of a market pegged asset).

4.2   Revenue and Expenses

Revenue streams are essentially caused by fees that have to be payed when using the DACs products, such as market pegged assets, user-issued assets, or the decentralized exchange [5]. These fees are variable, can be changed by shareholder approval and include   (a) transfers, (b) order operations, (c) account operations, (d) asset operations, (e) witness creations (f) proposal operations (g) withdraw permission operations (h) committee member operations, (i) worker creation, and more.

In contrast to bitcoin, where newly created coins in each block are distributed solely among countless miners that immensely

Figure 2: Cash flow of BitShares 2.0

overpay for the network security [7], the BitShares ecosystem achieves a better security at lower costs by means of an adjustable number of approved and trusted witnesses in DPOS. Additionally, the BitShares ecosystem has the capability to pay for its own development through budget items. Both, the payment for witnesses, as well as the budget items are required to be approved by the shareholders.

As an example, an entrepreneur may approach the shareholders and offer to launch a business in the BitShares space that would greatly benefit the ecosystem. If he succeeds and convinces the shareholders to vote for and not against his plan, he could get an initial funding by the DAC.

Another use-case would be the improvement of the blockchain's protocol. A developer could propose a change or extension of the existing software implementation and be payed by the DAC to do so (after shareholder approval). Hence, as long as the average shareholders acts rational, the BitShares blockchain can be seen as a self-funded but profitable business

4.3   Memberships

Accounts in BitShares are separated into three groups. We decided to give users the option to upgrade their accounts into a VIP-like status if they desire and profit from reduced fees and additional features.

A *regular* account is a *non-member*.

Lifetime Members get a percentage cashback on every transaction fee they pay and qualify to earn referral income (see below) from users they register with or refer to the network. A Lifetime membership is associated with a certain one-time fee that is defined by the committee and qualifies for reduced transaction fees.

If a lifetime membership is too much you can still get the same cashback for the next year by becoming an annual subscriber for a smaller one-time fee which lasts for only one year and qualifies for reduced transactions fee during that time.

4.4   Referral Program

Every time an account you referred pays a transaction fee, that fee is divided among several different accounts. The network takes a cut, and the Lifetime Member who referred the account gets a cut.

The registrar is the account that paid the transaction fee to register the account with the network. The registrar gets to decide how to divide the remaining fee between themselves and their own affiliate.

Fees paid are only divided among the network, referrers, and registrars once every maintenance interval. The paid fees are divided among tow or three parties, depending on the parameter d that can be set by the registrar:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{total fee} = \text{ } & \text{network fee}(20\%) \\
& + \text{registrar}(80\% \cdot (100\% - d\%)) \quad (1) \\
& + \text{referrer}(80\% \cdot (d\%))
\end{aligned}
$$

Most fees are made available immediately, but fees over the vesting threshold (such as those paid to upgrade your membership or register a premium account name) must vest for some days as defined by the committee.

5 Architecture of BitShares

Before describing how BitShares can be used to secure financial freedom, we first discuss the technical specifications briefly. Among these is the public ledger (also referred to as *the blockchain*), the peer-to-peer network, the distributed consensus finding mechanism and the system parameters available to BitShares.

5.1 Public Ledger

As in other crypto-currencies, the public ledger of BitShares is built and stored in a linked series of blocks, known as a blockchain.

The ledger provides a permanent record of transactions that have taken place, and also establishes an order in which transactions have occurred. Hence, every *content* of the blockchain can be assigned an permanent and unique identifier in form of a scalar number.

Every full node in the BitShares network stores a full copy of this blockchain and can verify its validity and the evaluate new blocks.

Every block contains

- a reference to the previous block,
- a timestamp,
- a hash of a secret,
- the secret of the previous hash,
- a set of transactions, and
- a signature by the block producing authority

As will be discussed in section 5.4, the consensus mechanism allows for synchronous block production with constant block confirmation times, e.g., one block every $5\,\text{s}$.

Since the blocks mainly embrace customer transactions but has to perform time intensive tasks, or execute rare events from time to time, some actions such as reenumeration of blockchain-based votes and rare events such as newly registered block producers (witnesses) are carried out more rarely but still on a frequent so called *maintenance interval*.

5.2 Irreversibility of Transactions

Historically we have stated that a blockchain becomes irreversible after one round of block production with greater than 51% participation. It turns out that this metric is too fuzzy because of noise in how witnesses are ordered. In an effort to provide stronger/absolute guarantees a new metric has been derived that determines the exact point at which a particular block becomes *irreversible*. The algorithm to define the metric goes as follows:

Sort N witnesses by the last block number they signed, then take the highest block number that is lower than 66% of all other witnesses. This will indicate that said block has been confirmed by 66% of all witnesses and is clearly irreversible.

This particular metric is dynamic and can respond to changes in the order of witnesses and is immune to situations where the network fragments into more than two pieces. In the event of a major disruption users are guaranteed that no block older than that number can ever be undone.

If we had only 17 witnesses and 3 second block confirmation interval, then this will take an average of 34 seconds. If we had 101 witnesses and 3 second blocks then this will take an average of 3.3 minutes for block to be *irreversible*,

Having this metric is important to give everyone in the network peace of mind in the unlikely event that a software bug or network issue causes all witnesses to fall out of sync and gives a clear measure of when they are considered back in sync.

Anyone accepting transactions as final prior to the most recent irreversible block is choosing to take some extra risk on their transaction.

5.3 Low Latency Peer-to-Peer Network

The peer-to-peer network distributes the full blockchain database across the world. It consists of public and private nodes as well as seed nodes that are used for initial connection to the peer-to-peer network. Anybody may connect to any known node and download the current global and unique state (i.e. the blockchain).

Once a node is in sync with the peer-to-peer network it received and applies newly created blocks, and assists new network nodes by further distribution of the blockchain. Additionally, new blocks are broadcast to all connected nodes.

Furthermore, network nodes receive transaction from participants and forward them to the rest of the network until they reach the witness that is in charge of constructing the next block. Hence, new transaction broadcasts do not necessarily need to reach all nodes.

Building a *low-latency* network requires P2P nodes that have low-latency connections and a protocol designed to minimize latency. For the purpose of this document we will assume that two nodes are located on opposite sides of the globe with a ping round-trip time of $250\,\text{ms}$.

In the Bitcoin network architecture, transactions and blocks were broadcast in a following manner: inventory messages notify peers of transactions and blocks, then peers fetch the transaction or block from one peer. After validating the item a node will broadcast an inventory message to its peers.

Under this model it will take approximately $0.75\,\text{s}$ for a peer to communicate a transaction or block to another peer even if their size was 0 and there was no processing overhead. This level of performance is unacceptable for a network attempting to produce one block *every second*.

This prior protocol also sent every transaction twice: initial broadcast, and again as part of a block.

To minimize latency each node needs to immediately broadcast the data it receives to its peers after validating it. Given the average transaction size is less than $100\,\text{bytes}$, it is almost as efficient to send the transaction as it is to send the notice (assuming a $20\,\text{byte}$ transaction id).

5.4 Distributed Consensus Mechanism

Consensus is the mechanism by which a subset of people decide upon unitary rational action. The process of consensus decision-making allows for all participants to consent upon a resolution of action even if not the favored course of action for each individual participant. Bitcoin was the first system to integrate a fully

decentralized consensus method with the modern technology of the internet and peer-to-peer networks in order to more efficiently facilitate the transfer of value through electronic communication. The proof-of-work structure that secures and maintains the Bitcoin network is one manner of organizing individuals who do not necessarily trust one another to act in the best interest of all participants of the network.

It is of importance to distinguish a democratic voting process in which every citizen of a community has one and only one vote from a distributed consensus mechanism in crypto-currencies hand over voting power either in relation to hashing power (e.g. proof-of-work) or on a per stake basis (e.g. proof-of-stake). In both cases, those that invest in the required infrastructure to increase their voting percentage (i.e. by buying mining hardware or stake) act as shareholder in a distributed community.

The BitShares community employs *Delegated Proof-of-Stake* (DPOS) in order to find efficient solutions to distributed consensus decision making. DPOS attempts to solve the problems of both Bitcoin's traditional proof-of-work system, and the proof-of-stake system of Peercoin and NXT by implementing a layer of technological democracy to offset the negative effects of centralization. For historical reasons, the technology is still called *delegated* proof-of-stake even though what have been delegates in BitShares 1.0 are now so called *witnesses*.

In DPOS set of N witnesses (formerly known as *delegates*) sign the blocks and are voted on by those using the network with every transaction that gets made. By using a decentralized voting process, DPOS is by design more democratic than comparable systems. Rather than eliminating the need for trust all together, DPOS has safeguards in place the ensure that those trusted with signing blocks on behalf of the network are doing so correctly and without bias. A more detailed description about the distributed consensus mechanism as well as a discussion how blockchain forking is prevented during attacks is given in a separate paper [8].

Additionally, each block signed must have a verification that the block before it was signed by a trusted node. DPOS eliminates the need to wait until a certain number of untrusted nodes have verified a transaction before it can be confirmed.

This reduced need for confirmation produces an increase in speed of transaction times. By intentionally placing trust with the most trustworthy of potential block signers, as decided by the network, no artificial encumbrance need be imposed to slow down the block signing process. DPOS allows for many more transactions to be included in a block than either proof of work or proof of stake systems.

In a delegated proof-of-stake system, centralization still occurs, but it is controlled. Unlike other methods of securing cryptocurrency networks, every client in a DPOS system has the ability to decide who is trusted rather than trust concentrating in the hands of those with the most resources. DPOS allows the network to reap some of the major advantages of centralization, while still maintaining some calculated measure of decentralization. Furthermore, once a witness has reached approval by shareholders, surpasses the threshold of the most N active witnesses, and, hence, is elected to actively participate in the block production procedure, its power is *equivalent* to all other active witnesses. This system is enforced by a fair election process where anyone could potentially become a delegated representative (witness) of the majority of users.

Please note that DPOS has a recommended $1 - 2$ block confirmation versus bitcoin's 6 block recommendation. DPOS is much more resistant against forks for the following reasons:

- When a fork is produced it is very likely that all witnesses have seen and processed your transaction and thus no alternative transactions can be broadcast and the next witness is almost certain to include your transaction. All witnesses are much more trusted than miners.
- The probability of a fork after a block has been produced is very low (¡ 0.01%) where as Bitcoin has 25 orphans in the last 22 days (about 1 per day in Dec 3,2014) which translates into 0.7% of blocks are orphaned.
- On normal operations, DPOS achieves a 100% witness participation rate and when we are less than that it is more often because a witness went offline and didn't produce a block than because they produced a fork.
- In BitShares 1.0 forks have almost always been resolved within 30 seconds.

Assuming a 10 second block interval, Bitshares is mathematically over 70x less likely to orphan after 1 block than Bitcoin after 1 block (10 minutes). After 3 blocks (30 seconds) any random orphan will have been resolved and the probability of alternative chains is much lower than the 0.000001% of Bitcoin. By the time Bitcoin gets to .7% orphan probability, BitShares has 60 blocks which would have a probability of being orphaned of less than 10^{-120}.

5.5   Operations

Similar to most crypto-currencies, there is a set of predefined operations that can be performed on the blockchain. In contrast to Bitcoin, which uses a technique called *script* to describe operations that shall be performed in a programmatic way using *OP* codes, the BitShares network has a predefined (but extensible) set of operations that a user may perform.

All operations end up on the blockchain eventually. Once they are validated and confirmed by a witness by being included into a block, they are *executed* and update the state of the blockchain accordingly.

The release version of BitShares 2.0 comes with (a) transfer ops, (b) trading order ops, (c) account ops, (d) asset ops, (e) witness ops, (f) committee ops, (g) worker ops, and (h) vesting ops, However, since BitShares allows for shareholder approved, live protocol upgrades, the set of operations can be extended and modified.

On the blockchain level, each operation is assigned an individual *id* with a custom set of parameters for performance and latency reasons.

5.6   Transactions

Having defined *operations*, we can now put these into a *list of operations* and construct a *transaction*. In addition to its operations, a transaction also consists of (a) an expiration date, (b) a reference block number, (c) a reference block prefix, (d) a

set of extensions, and (e) a set of signatures to authorize each operation.

Each node (including witnesses) verifies that all requires signatures to perform the given operations are present and valid prior to propagating the transactions to the rest of the network and hence to the witness node constructing the next block. If the transaction is included into a block it is considered *finally valid* or *executed*.

5.7   Proposed Transactions

Additionally, the Graphene technology allows users to propose a transaction which requires approval of multiple accounts in order to execute. These transactions are only partially valid and do not *execute* until they are completely valid.

The user proposes a transaction, then signatory accounts add or remove their approvals from this operation. When a sufficient number of approvals have been granted, the operations in the proposal are used to create a virtual transaction which is subsequently evaluated. Even if the transaction fails, the proposal will be kept until the expiration time, at which point, if sufficient approval is granted, the transaction will be evaluated a final time. This allows transactions which will not execute successfully until a given time to still be executed through the proposal mechanism. The first time the proposed transaction succeeds, the proposal will be regarded as resolved, and all future updates will be invalid.

The common use-case would be similar to so called *multi-signature* transactions which must be signed by two parties. Classical crypto currencies had the issue that such *proposed* transaction had to be communicated on separated channels until all required signatures have been collected. With BitShares, it is no possible to propose a transaction on the blockchain and have the required signatures be added by the respective parties.

The proposal system in combination with corporate accounts allows for arbitrarily complex or recursively nested authorities. If a recursive authority (i.e. an authority which requires approval of *nested* authorities on other accounts) is required for a proposal, then a second proposal can be used to grant the nested authority's approval. That is, a second proposal can be created which, when sufficiently approved, adds the approval of a nested authority to the first proposal. This multiple-proposal scheme can be used to acquire approval for an arbitrarily deep authority tree.

6   Discussion

In general, BitShares has similarities and differences to most known crypto-currencies. As many others, BitShares is based on a blockchain that stores and propagates transactions, i.e. user operations. Since, with DPOS, computational resources are used solely for the purpose of transaction propagation and confirmation, rather than wasteful computational work, the block production interval has been reduced to a few seconds. Eventually, this improves the over-all profitability of the DAC.

Additionally, we make use of *named* accounts that can be registered on the blockchain. Users no longer need to send money to an alphanumeric string that can be copied incorrectly. Rather, funds can be sent as easily as sending an email, and in the same fashion. Name registration allows for the identification of who transactions are are originating with with no need to manually create a contact account for a given address. Transactions may contain a memo field that allow users to describe the nature of the transaction or broadcast secure messages about the price of the current transaction fee. Since BitShares 2.0 implements *confidential transaction*, there is no longer a need for *mixing* or *master nodes*. Transactions can be more private iin BitShares than in Bitcoin, for example, with no additional work needed from the user.

BitShares is a 100% proof-of-stake system. This means it is a lot more efficient (cost per security) than proof-of-work and therefore does not have to dilute stakeholders/coinholders (there is a 10% yearly dilution of Bitcoin-holders as per 2015 with Bitcoin and lowering this dilution would mean to lower the security). Hence, the cost of securing the BitShares network is merely a fraction of all transaction fees accumulated by the network.

The job of the block producers is simple: include as many valid transactions in your given block as possible and sign a single block. These Block producers compete for the most approval in order to be allowed to produce blocks. Shareholder votes are proportionate to the relative number of shares they own. The BitShares DAC is *completely* shareholder run. Now people can be hired by the blockchain. Where coins like Bitcoin dilute to pay for network security, BitShares takes these fees and directs them towards continual improvement of the network and community. This helps insure BitShares will stay competitive in its feature set. More details about the consensus scheme of BitShares will be made available in a separated whitepaper.

Recalling the initial distribution of BTS, it seem convincing to assume that most alternative distributions are way more unfair and some disproportionately favor their respective core developers. Since BitShares is a self-funded DAC, it can *pay* for its future development autonomously by dilution, if shareholders reach an on-blockchain consensus by approval voting.

Furthermore, it becomes clear from the descriptions that BitShares is governed by its shareholders and the committee whose members have shareholder approval. This allows for flexible adjustment of blockchain parameters, such as transaction fees, block interval, and more, as well as protocol upgrades to include new features.

Since the BitShares is a self-funded blockchain, that can pay its workers by protocol, a healthy competition for new improvements, upgrades and additional features can be expected.

7   Conclusion

The properties and features mentioned in this paper make clear that the BitShares DAC is well-prepared for its own features. It was shown that, due to on-blockchain voting, a decentralized development and funding can be achieved. The consensus mechanism DPOS reaches a trade-off between efficiency and required trust while keeping a better decentralization that mostly every other blockchain consensus scheme.

References

[1] Daniel Larimer, "Creating a Fiat/Bitcoin Exchange without Fiat Deposits." https://bitcointalk.org/index.php?topic=223747.0.

[2] "DACPlay," http://dacPLAY.org.

[3] Adam Ernest, "Follow My Vote," http://followmyvote.com.

[4] Cédric Cobban, "Follow My Vote," http://peertracks.com.

[5] "BitShares 2.0: Financial Smart Contract Platform," *BitShares Whitepapers*, 2015.

[6] "The trade is the settlement," http://t0.com/.

[7] Daniel Larimer, "Overpaying for Security," http://letstalkbitcoin.com/is-bitcoin-overpaying-for-false-security/#.Ui-p9WTFT7s.

[8] "BitShares 2.0: Distributed Consensus," *BitShares Whitepapers*, 2015.